Towards combined global monthly gravity field solutions

A. Jäggi[1], U. Meyer[1], G. Beutler[1], M. Weigelt[2], T. van Dam[2], T. Mayer-Gürr[3], J. Flury[4], F. Flechtner[5], C. Dahle[5]

[1]Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

[2]Geophysics Laboratory, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg

[3]Institute of Theoretical Geodesy and Satellite Geodesy, Technical University of Graz, Austria

[4]Institute of Geodesy, University of Hannover, Germany

[5]German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

GRACE Science Team Meeting 2013, Austin, Texas

Motivation

A variety of time-variable GRACE solutions are today available from different groups:

- They differ in terms of noise and (maybe) signal
- They may be based on different methodologies
- What can be done to make best possible use of all these solutions?
- Is it possible to establish a meaningful combination?

Impact of different processing strategies



state vector

empirical parameters

spherical harmonics coefficients

Impact of different processing strategies



Noise assessment

- weighted standard deviation (wSTD) over the oceans are computed to estimate the noise of the monthly solutions in a simple way



- an enlarged landmask is applied to compute the weighted RMS in order to avoid leakage from continental regions with strong hydrology

Noise assessment

wSTD over oceans (60)



GRG[illegible].9 mm
A[illegible]. 1.5 mm
fixed: 1.1 mm
GFZ5b: 1.8 mm
CSR: 1.3 mm

AIUB: 9.7 mm
fixed: 6.9 mm
GFZ5a: 11[illegible]m
fixed [illegible].1 mm
[illegible] 11.8 mm
ITG10: 6.2 mm
DMT1: 0.7 mm

Solutions cannot be combined „just like that“ due to different solution strategies

wSTD over oceans (90)



Averaged monthly solutions
(input solutions based on similar strategies)

wSTD over oceans (60)



AIUB: 1.5 mm
GFZ5b: 1.8mm
CSR: 1.3 mm
mean: 1.2 mm

AIUB: 9.7 mm
GFZ5a: 11.3 mm
JPL: 11.8 mm
mean: 7.8 mm
wmean: 7.5 mm

wSTD over oceans (90)



Signal (hydrology in South America)



Averaged monthly solutions
(input solutions based on similar strategies)

max. degree 60



max. degree 90



Signal (ice mass change in Greenland)



Only solutions based on comparable processing strategies may be used for a meaningful combination

20 mm/y

GFZa: -22 mm/y

GFZ: -31 mm/y

JPL: -20 mm/y

CSR: -19 mm/y

GRGS: -36 mm/y

DMT: -34 mm/y

ITG: -28 mm/y

Averaged monthly solutions
(input solutions based on similar strategies)

max. degree 60



max. degree 90



Coefficient wise significance of trends









Coefficient wise significance of annual variations









RMS of monthly differences per coefficient

JPL-RL05 - AIUB (new)



JPL-RL05 - GFZ-RL05a



GFZ-RL05a - AIUB (new)



Monthly relative weights (example 03/2008)

Contribution per order



AIUB (new)



GFZ-RL05b



CSR-RL05



Percent: $100\% * w_i/(w_1+w_2+w_3)$

Weight matrix: 1/RMS per order

Summary in view of GRACE-FO

- A service should be established consisting of:
  - A larger number of Analysis Centers (ACs) providing time-variable gravity field solutions on a regular basis
  - Analysis Center Coordinator (ACC)
- Comparable processing strategies are mandatory to ensure meaningful results of the ACC work:
  - Comparison of the AC solutions (gravity field solutions, orbits, residuals), identification of problematic solutions
  - Pairwise comparison of solutions to derive approximate empirical weights for the individual ACs
  - Combination of all AC gravity fields, either by:
    - Calculating a weighted average of the gravity field parameters based on the previously derived weights
    - Combining the solutions based on normal equations generated by the individual ACs